

**CMP 694 Graph Theory**  
**Hacettepe University**

## **Lecture 7: Vertex Coloring and Upper Bounds**

**Lecturer:**  
**Lale Özkahya**

**Resources:**  
**“Introduction to Graph Theory” by Douglas B. West**

- 1 Vertex Coloring and Upper Bounds
- 2 Edge Coloring

*k*-coloring of a graph  $G$ : A labeling  $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$ , where  $|S| = k$ .  
The vertices of the same color form a *color class*.

# Definitions

**$k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ :** A labeling  $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$ , where  $|S| = k$ .  
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

**proper coloring:** A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

**$k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ :** A labeling  $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$ , where  $|S| = k$ .  
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

**proper coloring:** A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

**$k$ -colorable:** A graph is  $k$ -colorable if it has a proper  $k$ -coloring.

# Definitions

**$k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ :** A labeling  $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$ , where  $|S| = k$ .  
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

**proper coloring:** A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

**$k$ -colorable:** A graph is  $k$ -colorable if it has a proper  $k$ -coloring.

**chromatic number of a graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G)$ :** The least  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable.

# Definitions

**$k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ :** A labeling  $f : V(G) \Rightarrow S$ , where  $|S| = k$ .  
The vertices of the same color form a **color class**.

**proper coloring:** A coloring, where any two neighboring vertices have different colors

**$k$ -colorable:** A graph is  $k$ -colorable if it has a proper  $k$ -coloring.

**chromatic number of a graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G)$ :** The least  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable.

**Examples:** bipartite graphs have chromatic number 2, odd cycles, Petersen graph have chromatic number 3. Why? What is the chromatic number of  $Q_n$ ?

## Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number,  $\omega(G)$ : maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in  $G$ .



## Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number,  $\omega(G)$ : maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in  $G$ .

### Proposition

*For every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$ .*

# Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number,  $\omega(G)$ : maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in  $G$ .

## Proposition

*For every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$ .*

**Remark:** Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities?

## Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number,  $\omega(G)$ : maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in  $G$ .

### Proposition

*For every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$ .*

**Remark:** Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When  $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$ .  $\omega(G) = s + 2$  and  $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$ .

# Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number,  $\omega(G)$ : maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in  $G$ .

## Proposition

For every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$ .

**Remark:** Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When  $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$ .  $\omega(G) = s + 2$  and  $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$ .

The chromatic number of the *disjoint union* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G + H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

# Relation of $\chi(G)$ to other graph parameters

Clique number,  $\omega(G)$ : maximum order of a clique (complete subgraph) in  $G$ .

## Proposition

For every graph  $G$ ,  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{\alpha(G)}$ .

**Remark:** Can you find examples, for which equalities do not hold in the above inequalities? When  $G = C_{2r+1} \vee K_s$ .  $\omega(G) = s + 2$  and  $\chi(G) \geq s + 3$ .

The chromatic number of the *disjoint union* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G + H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

The chromatic number of the *join* of two graphs:

$$\chi(G \vee H) = \chi(G) + \chi(H).$$

## Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $G \square H$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  specified by putting an edge between the vertices  $uv$  and  $u'v'$  iff

- 1  $u = u'$  and  $vv' \in E(H)$ , or
- 2  $v = v'$  and  $uu' \in E(G)$ .

## Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $G \square H$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  specified by putting an edge between the vertices  $uv$  and  $u'v'$  iff

- ①  $u = u'$  and  $vv' \in E(H)$ , or
- ②  $v = v'$  and  $uu' \in E(G)$ .

Can you draw the cartesian product of two paths, say  $P_3 \square P_4$ ?

## Another Product of Graphs: *Cartesian product*

The **cartesian product** of  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $G \square H$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  specified by putting an edge between the vertices  $uv$  and  $u'v'$  iff

- ①  $u = u'$  and  $vv' \in E(H)$ , or
- ②  $v = v'$  and  $uu' \in E(G)$ .

Can you draw the cartesian product of two paths, say  $P_3 \square P_4$ ?

The **chromatic number** of the *cartesian product* of two graphs (Vizing, 1963, Aberth, 1964):

$$\chi(G \square H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$



Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

# Upper Bounds

## Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

## Proposition (Welsh-Powell, 1967)

*If a graph  $G$  has a degree sequence  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots \geq d_n$ , then*

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min\{d_i, i - 1\}.$$

**Proof idea:** Apply greedy coloring to the vertices ordered with nonincreasing degrees.

# Upper Bounds

## Proposition

$$\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

## Proposition (Welsh-Powell, 1967)

*If a graph  $G$  has a degree sequence  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ , then*

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min\{d_i, i - 1\}.$$

**Proof idea:** Apply greedy coloring to the vertices ordered with nonincreasing degrees.

**Note:** Every graph has some vertex ordering for which greedy coloring uses exactly  $\chi(G)$  colors. (Exercise 33)

## Color-critical (or $k$ -critical) graphs

If  $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$  for every proper subgraph  $H \subset G$ , then  $G$  is called  **$k$ -critical (or color-critical)**.

**Example:** Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any  $K_n$  is  $n$ -critical.

### Lemma

*If  $H$  is a  $k$ -critical graph, then  $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$ .*

## Color-critical (or $k$ -critical) graphs

If  $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$  for every proper subgraph  $H \subset G$ , then  $G$  is called  **$k$ -critical (or color-critical)**.

**Example:** Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any  $K_n$  is  $n$ -critical.

### Lemma

*If  $H$  is a  $k$ -critical graph, then  $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$ .*

**Proof idea:** Assume, there is a vertex with degree  $k - 2$  or less, find a contradiction.

# Color-critical (or $k$ -critical) graphs

If  $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$  for every proper subgraph  $H \subset G$ , then  $G$  is called  **$k$ -critical (or color-critical)**.

**Example:** Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any  $K_n$  is  $n$ -critical.

## Lemma

*If  $H$  is a  $k$ -critical graph, then  $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$ .*

**Proof idea:** Assume, there is a vertex with degree  $k - 2$  or less, find a contradiction.

## Theorem (Szekeres-Wilf, 1968)

*For any graph  $G$ ,*

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

# Color-critical (or $k$ -critical) graphs

If  $\chi(H) < \chi(G) = k$  for every proper subgraph  $H \subset G$ , then  $G$  is called  **$k$ -critical (or color-critical)**.

**Example:** Every odd cycle is a 2-critical graph, any  $K_n$  is  $n$ -critical.

## Lemma

*If  $H$  is a  $k$ -critical graph, then  $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$ .*

**Proof idea:** Assume, there is a vertex with degree  $k - 2$  or less, find a contradiction.

## Theorem (Szekeres-Wilf, 1968)

*For any graph  $G$ ,*

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

**Proof idea:** Let  $H'$  be a  $k$ -critical subgraph of  $G$ .

$$\chi(G) - 1 = \chi(H') - 1 \leq \delta(H') \leq \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).$$

# Brook's Theorem

## Theorem (Brooks, 1941)

*If  $G$  is a connected graph other than a complete graph or an odd cycle, then  $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ .*

**Sketch of the proof:** Let  $k = \Delta(G)$ . For  $k \geq 3$ , trivial for  $k = 1, 2$ .

- **Case 1:  $G$  is not  $k$ -regular.** Let  $\deg(v_n) < k$ , construct a spanning tree of  $G$  using BFS starting at  $v_n$ , label the vertices  $v_i$  with decreasing index  $i$  as they are added to the tree. Greedy algorithm uses at most  $k$  colors.



# Brook's Theorem

## Theorem (Brooks, 1941)

*If  $G$  is a connected graph other than a complete graph or an odd cycle, then  $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ .*

*Sketch of the proof:* Let  $k = \Delta(G)$ . For  $k \geq 3$ , trivial for  $k = 1, 2$ .

- **Case 1:  $G$  is not  $k$ -regular.** Let  $\deg(v_n) < k$ , construct a spanning tree of  $G$  using BFS starting at  $v_n$ , label the vertices  $v_i$  with decreasing index  $i$  as they are added to the tree. Greedy algorithm uses at most  $k$  colors.
- **Case 2:  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and has a cut-vertex:** Say  $x$  is a cut-vertex and  $H_1$  is a component of  $G - x$  and  $H_2 = G - \{x\} - H_1$ . Color  $H_1 \cup \{x\}$  and  $H_2 \cup \{x\}$  separately. Permute colors in both colorings such that  $x$  has the same color in both. Done.

- **Case 3:  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex  $v_n$  has neighbors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , that are not adjacent, and  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)

# Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3:  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex  $v_n$  has neighbors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , that are not adjacent, and  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  rooted at  $v_n$  such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.

# Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3:  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex  $v_n$  has neighbors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , that are not adjacent, and  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  rooted at  $v_n$  such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  by coloring  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  the same. Done.

# Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3:  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex  $v_n$  has neighbors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , that are not adjacent, and  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  rooted at  $v_n$  such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  by coloring  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  the same. Done.

## Claim

*Every  $k$ -regular 2-connected graph has a triple as  $v_1, v_2, v_n$ .*

# Brook's Theorem

- **Case 3:  $G$  is  $k$ -regular and 2-connected:** Assume some vertex  $v_n$  has neighbors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , that are not adjacent, and  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  is connected. (We show later, that this is always true.)
- Use either BFS or DFS to find a spanning tree of  $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$  rooted at  $v_n$  such that vertex indices increase along the paths to the root.
- Color greedily  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  by coloring  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  the same. Done.

## Claim

*Every  $k$ -regular 2-connected graph has a triple as  $v_1, v_2, v_n$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $G$  is not complete, there are two vertices of distance 2, say  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . We let the common neighbor of them be  $v_n$ .

# Graphs with large chromatic number

## Construction (Mycielski's construction)

*For an input graph  $G$  with vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , a new graph  $G'$  is obtained by adding vertices  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  and another vertex  $w$ . The edge set of  $G'$  contains  $E(G)$ , the edges between  $u_i$  and  $N_G(v_i)$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, let  $N(w) = U$ .*

# Graphs with large chromatic number

## Construction (Mycielski's construction)

*For an input graph  $G$  with vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , a new graph  $G'$  is obtained by adding vertices  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  and another vertex  $w$ . The edge set of  $G'$  contains  $E(G)$ , the edges between  $u_i$  and  $N_G(v_i)$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, let  $N(w) = U$ .*

**Remark:** This construction obtains a  $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is  $k$ -chromatic. Examples:  $G = K_2$  and  $G = C_5$ .



# Graphs with large chromatic number

## Construction (Mycielski's construction)

*For an input graph  $G$  with vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , a new graph  $G'$  is obtained by adding vertices  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  and another vertex  $w$ . The edge set of  $G'$  contains  $E(G)$ , the edges between  $u_i$  and  $N_G(v_i)$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, let  $N(w) = U$ .*

**Remark:** This construction obtains a  $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is  $k$ -chromatic. Examples:  $G = K_2$  and  $G = C_5$ .

## Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

*From a  $k$ -chromatic triangle-free graph  $G$ , Mycielski's construction produces a  $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.*

- $U$  is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some  $u_i$  and neighbors in  $N(v_i)$ , contradiction, because  $G$  has no triangle.

# Graphs with large chromatic number

## Construction (Mycielski's construction)

*For an input graph  $G$  with vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , a new graph  $G'$  is obtained by adding vertices  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  and another vertex  $w$ . The edge set of  $G'$  contains  $E(G)$ , the edges between  $u_i$  and  $N_G(v_i)$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, let  $N(w) = U$ .*

**Remark:** This construction obtains a  $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is  $k$ -chromatic. Examples:  $G = K_2$  and  $G = C_5$ .

## Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

*From a  $k$ -chromatic triangle-free graph  $G$ , Mycielski's construction produces a  $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.*

- $U$  is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some  $u_i$  and neighbors in  $N(v_i)$ , contradiction, because  $G$  has no triangle.
- We can easily extend a  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  to color  $U$ . Then, color  $w$  with an extra color. So, at most  $k + 1$  colors are sufficient.

# Graphs with large chromatic number

## Construction (Mycielski's construction)

*For an input graph  $G$  with vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , a new graph  $G'$  is obtained by adding vertices  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  and another vertex  $w$ . The edge set of  $G'$  contains  $E(G)$ , the edges between  $u_i$  and  $N_G(v_i)$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, let  $N(w) = U$ .*

**Remark:** This construction obtains a  $k + 1$ -chromatic graph, when the input graph is  $k$ -chromatic. Examples:  $G = K_2$  and  $G = C_5$ .

## Theorem (Mycielski, 1955)

*From a  $k$ -chromatic triangle-free graph  $G$ , Mycielski's construction produces a  $k + 1$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.*

- $U$  is an independent set. So, triangles could be induced by some  $u_i$  and neighbors in  $N(v_i)$ , contradiction, because  $G$  has no triangle.
- We can easily extend a  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  to color  $U$ . Then, color  $w$  with an extra color. So, at most  $k + 1$  colors are sufficient.
- Also, at least  $k + 1$  colors are needed. To show that start with a proper coloring of  $G'$  and obtain a proper coloring of  $G$  using less colors.

1 Vertex Coloring and Upper Bounds

2 Edge Coloring

A  *$k$ -edge coloring* of a graph  $G$  is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of  $G$  using  $k$  colors.

# Definitions

A  $k$ -edge coloring of a graph  $G$  is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of  $G$  using  $k$  colors.

A coloring is called proper if incident edges have different colors.

# Definitions

A  *$k$ -edge coloring* of a graph  $G$  is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of  $G$  using  $k$  colors.

A coloring is called *proper* if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is  *$k$ -edge-colorable* if it has a proper  $k$ -edge coloring.

# Definitions

A  **$k$ -edge coloring** of a graph  $G$  is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of  $G$  using  $k$  colors.

A coloring is called **proper** if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is  **$k$ -edge-colorable** if it has a proper  $k$ -edge coloring. The **edge chromatic number of  $G$ ,  $\chi'(G)$** , is the least  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -edge-colorable.



# Definitions

A  **$k$ -edge coloring** of a graph  $G$  is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of  $G$  using  $k$  colors.

A coloring is called **proper** if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is  **$k$ -edge-colorable** if it has a proper  $k$ -edge coloring. The **edge chromatic number of  $G$ ,  $\chi'(G)$** , is the least  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -edge-colorable.

**Observation:**  $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$  for all graphs.

A  **$k$ -edge coloring** of a graph  $G$  is a coloring (labeling) of the edges of  $G$  using  $k$  colors.

A coloring is called **proper** if incident edges have different colors.

A graph is  **$k$ -edge-colorable** if it has a proper  $k$ -edge coloring. The **edge chromatic number of  $G$ ,  $\chi'(G)$** , is the least  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -edge-colorable.

**Observation:**  $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$  for all graphs.

**Example:** Edge-coloring of  $K_{2n}$  is a modeling of scheduling problem.

# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .

# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

## Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.

# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

## Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of  $G'$  one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.

# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

## Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of  $G'$  one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper  $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of  $G'$  and  $G$ .

# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

## Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of  $G'$  one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper  $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of  $G'$  and  $G$ .

### Observation:

The chromatic number of Petersen graph is 4. (Note that if 3 colors were enough, then every color class would contain exactly five edges. Remove one matching and discuss the remaining graph.)

# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

## Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of  $G'$  one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper  $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of  $G'$  and  $G$ .

## Observation:

The chromatic number of Petersen graph is 4. (Note that if 3 colors were enough, then every color class would contain exactly five edges. Remove one matching and discuss the remaining graph.)

## Theorem (Vizing, 1964)

*If  $G$  is a simple graph, then  $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .*



# Bipartite Graphs, Petersen Graph

## Theorem (König, 1916)

*If  $G$  is bipartite, then  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .*

- Note that every bipartite graph is contained in a  $\Delta(G)$ -regular bipartite graph, call this larger graph  $G'$ .
- Every regular bipartite graph has a 1-factor.
- Remove 1-factors of  $G'$  one by one and let every one factor be the edges of one color class.
- This yields a proper  $\Delta(G)$ -coloring of  $G'$  and  $G$ .

## Observation:

The chromatic number of Petersen graph is 4. (Note that if 3 colors were enough, then every color class would contain exactly five edges. Remove one matching and discuss the remaining graph.)

## Theorem (Vizing, 1964)

*If  $G$  is a simple graph, then  $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .*

**Thus, there are two types of graphs: the ones that have edge-chromatic number  $\Delta(G)$  or  $\Delta(G) + 1$ .**