CMP 694 Graph Theory Hacettepe University

# Lecture 6: Connectivity and Menger's Theorem

Lecturer: Lale Özkahya

Resources: "Introduction to Graph Theory" by Douglas B. West

 $\kappa(x, y)$  (or  $\kappa(x, y)$ ): the minimum size of an x, y-cut in a graph G.  $\lambda(x, y)$  (or  $\lambda_G(x, y)$ ): the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint x, y-paths in G.

 $\kappa(x, y)$  (or  $\kappa(x, y)$ ): the minimum size of an x, y-cut in a graph G.  $\lambda(x, y)$  (or  $\lambda_G(x, y)$ ): the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint x, y-paths in G.

For  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ , an X, Y-path is a path having first vertex in X, last vertex in Y, and no other vertex in  $X \cup Y$ .

 $\kappa(x, y)$  (or  $\kappa(x, y)$ ): the minimum size of an x, y-cut in a graph G.  $\lambda(x, y)$  (or  $\lambda_G(x, y)$ ): the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint x, y-paths in G.

For  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ , an X, Y-path is a path having first vertex in X, last vertex in Y, and no other vertex in  $X \cup Y$ .

**Remark**: Always,  $\kappa(x, y) \ge \lambda(x, y)$ . Why? See example on page 166.

#### Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If x and y are vertices of a graph G and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$ .

Proof:

• Clearly,  $\kappa(x, y) \ge \lambda(x, y)$ . Induction on n(G) to show that  $\kappa(x, y) \le \lambda(x, y)$ .

#### Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If x and y are vertices of a graph G and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$ .

Proof:

- Clearly,  $\kappa(x, y) \ge \lambda(x, y)$ . Induction on n(G) to show that  $\kappa(x, y) \le \lambda(x, y)$ .
- Base step: n(G) = 2 Only x, y and  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Then,  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) = 0$ , done.

#### Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If x and y are vertices of a graph G and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$ .

Proof:

- Clearly,  $\kappa(x, y) \ge \lambda(x, y)$ . Induction on n(G) to show that  $\kappa(x, y) \le \lambda(x, y)$ .
- Base step: n(G) = 2 Only x, y and  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Then,  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) = 0$ , done.
- Inductive step: Reading exercise.

#### Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

A graph G is k-connected if and only if every two vertices are connected by at least k independent paths.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

A graph G is k-connected if and only if it has at least k + 1 vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \ge k$ , it has an x, U-fan of size k.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

A graph G is k-connected if and only if it has at least k + 1 vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \ge k$ , it has an x, U-fan of size k.

#### Proof:

*Necessity:* G, k-connected. Pick a vertex x and a set U with at least k vertices, show an x, U-fan exists.

#### Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

A graph G is k-connected if and only if it has at least k + 1 vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \ge k$ , it has an x, U-fan of size k.

#### Proof:

*Necessity:* G, k-connected. Pick a vertex x and a set U with at least k vertices, show an x, U-fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex y by connecting y to each vertex of U with an edge, call this new graph G'. By Exp. Lem., G' is also k-connected.

#### Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

A graph G is k-connected if and only if it has at least k + 1 vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \ge k$ , it has an x, U-fan of size k.

#### Proof:

*Necessity:* G, k-connected. Pick a vertex x and a set U with at least k vertices, show an x, U-fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex y by connecting y to each vertex of U with an edge, call this new graph G'. By Exp. Lem., G' is also k-connected.

**Menger's thm.** implies k internally disjoint x, y-paths exist in G'. Remove y. These paths show an x, U-fan exists.

#### Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

A graph G is k-connected if and only if it has at least k + 1 vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \ge k$ , it has an x, U-fan of size k.

#### Proof:

*Necessity:* G, k-connected. Pick a vertex x and a set U with at least k vertices, show an x, U-fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex y by connecting y to each vertex of U with an edge, call this new graph G'. By Exp. Lem., G' is also k-connected.

**Menger's thm.** implies k internally disjoint x, y-paths exist in G'. Remove y. These paths show an x, U-fan exists.

• Assume G satisfies the fan condition, show that G is k-connected.

- Assume G satisfies the fan condition, show that G is k-connected.
- First, note that  $\delta(G) \ge k$  (consider an x, U-fan with U = N(x).

- Assume G satisfies the fan condition, show that G is k-connnected.
- First, note that  $\delta(G) \ge k$  (consider an x, U-fan with U = N(x).
- For any two vertices w and z, we find k internally disjoint w, z-paths. Thus **Menger's Thm.** implies k-connectedness of G.

- Assume G satisfies the fan condition, show that G is k-connnected.
- First, note that  $\delta(G) \ge k$  (consider an x, U-fan with U = N(x).
- For any two vertices w and z, we find k internally disjoint w, z-paths. Thus Menger's Thm. implies k-connectedness of G.
- Let U = N(z). There is a w, U-fan. By extending each of the k w, U-paths to z, we are done.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

#### Proof Idea: Induction on k

• Base step: k=2 If G is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint x, y-paths between any two vertices x and y, whose union is a cycle containing x and y.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

#### Proof Idea: Induction on k

- Base step: k=2 If G is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint x, y-paths between any two vertices x and y, whose union is a cycle containing x and y.
- Inductive step: k > 2 Given any set S in a k-connected graph G, we find a cycle containing every vertex in S.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

#### Proof Idea: Induction on k

- Base step: k=2 If G is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint x, y-paths between any two vertices x and y, whose union is a cycle containing x and y.
- Inductive step: k > 2 Given any set S in a k-connected graph G, we find a cycle containing every vertex in S.
- Clearly, G is also (k − 1)-connected. So, for any vertex x ∈ S, there is a cycle C containing S − {x}.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

#### Proof Idea: Induction on k

- Base step: k=2 If G is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint x, y-paths between any two vertices x and y, whose union is a cycle containing x and y.
- Inductive step: k > 2 Given any set S in a k-connected graph G, we find a cycle containing every vertex in S.
- Clearly, G is also (k 1)-connected. So, for any vertex  $x \in S$ , there is a cycle C containing  $S \{x\}$ .
- Case: |V(C)| = k − 1 Because there is an x, V(C)-fan, x can be added to C to obtain a larger cycle.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

• Case:  $|V(C)| \ge k$  There is an x, V(C)-fan of size k. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in V(C).

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

• **Case:**  $|V(C)| \ge k$  There is an x, V(C)-fan of size k. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in V(C).  $V_i$  be the segment of Cfrom  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  but not containing it. (assuming cyclic order)

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

- **Case:**  $|V(C)| \ge k$  There is an x, V(C)-fan of size k. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in V(C).  $V_i$  be the segment of Cfrom  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  but not containing it. (assuming cyclic order)
- By pigeonhole principle (k 1 segments=pigeonholes and k vertices(that are not x) of the fan = pigeons), one segment  $V_j$  contains at least two vertices.

If G is a k-connnected graph (with  $k \ge 2$ ), and S is a set of k vertices in G, then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S.

- **Case:**  $|V(C)| \ge k$  There is an x, V(C)-fan of size k. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in V(C).  $V_i$  be the segment of Cfrom  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  but not containing it. (assuming cyclic order)
- By pigeonhole principle (k 1 segments= pigeonholes and k vertices(that are not x) of the fan = pigeons), one segment  $V_j$  contains at least two vertices.
- Say u, u' from the fan are in  $V_j$ . Replace u, u'-segment of C with the x, u-path and x, u'-path of the fan to obtain a cycle containing all of S.

A system of distinct representatives (SDR) for a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$  is a sequence of distinct elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  such that  $x_i \in S_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ .

A system of distinct representatives (SDR) for a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$  is a sequence of distinct elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  such that  $x_i \in S_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ .

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)| \geq |I| + |J| - m$  for each pair  $I, J \subseteq [m].(*)$ 

A system of distinct representatives (SDR) for a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$  is a sequence of distinct elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  such that  $x_i \in S_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ .

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i) \cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)| \ge |I| + |J| - m$  for each pair  $I, J \subseteq [m].(*)$ 

Proof:

• Create a digraph G with vertices  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$  and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m$ . In addition, add a vertex for each element in the sets and special vertices s and t.

A system of distinct representatives (SDR) for a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m$  is a sequence of distinct elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  such that  $x_i \in S_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ .

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i) \cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)| \ge |I| + |J| - m$  for each pair  $I, J \subseteq [m].(*)$ 

Proof:

- Create a digraph G with vertices  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$  and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m$ . In addition, add a vertex for each element in the sets and special vertices s and t.
- The edges of G consist of  $\{sa_i : A_i \in A\} \cup \{b_jt : B_j \in B\}$  and  $\{a_ix : x \in A_i\} \cup \{xb_j : x \in B_j\}.$

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)|\geq |I|+|J|-m$  for each pair  $I,J\subseteq [m].(*)$ 

 Remark: Each s, t-path selects a member of the intersection of some A<sub>i</sub> and some B<sub>j</sub>. There is a set of m pairwise internally disjoint s, t-paths if and only if there is a CSDR.

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $B = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)| \ge |I| + |J| - m$  for each pair  $I, J \subseteq [m].(*)$ 

- Remark: Each s, t-path selects a member of the intersection of some A<sub>i</sub> and some B<sub>j</sub>. There is a set of m pairwise internally disjoint s, t-paths if and only if there is a CSDR.
- By Menger's Thm., it is sufficient to show that (\*) is equivalent to having no *s*, *t*-cut of size less than *m*.

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $B = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)| \ge |I| + |J| - m$  for each pair  $I, J \subseteq [m].(*)$ 

- Remark: Each s, t-path selects a member of the intersection of some A<sub>i</sub> and some B<sub>j</sub>. There is a set of m pairwise internally disjoint s, t-paths if and only if there is a CSDR.
- By Menger's Thm., it is sufficient to show that (\*) is equivalent to having no *s*, *t*-cut of size less than *m*.
- Let R be an s, t-cut. Then,  $(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)\subseteq R$ .

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)|\geq |I|+|J|-m$  for each pair  $I,J\subseteq [m].(*)$ 

- Remark: Each s, t-path selects a member of the intersection of some A<sub>i</sub> and some B<sub>j</sub>. There is a set of m pairwise internally disjoint s, t-paths if and only if there is a CSDR.
- By Menger's Thm., it is sufficient to show that (\*) is equivalent to having no *s*, *t*-cut of size less than *m*.
- Let R be an s, t-cut. Then,  $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \cap (\cup_{j \in J} B_j) \subseteq R$ .
- Let  $I = \{a_i\} R$  and  $J = \{b_j\} R$ . Then, we have

 $|R| \ge |(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \cap (\cup_{j \in J} B_j))| + (m - |I|) + (m - |J|),$ 

which is always at least m because of (\*).

#### Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson, 1958)

Families  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$  have a common SDR (an SDR for both) iff

 $|(\cup_{i\in I}A_i)\cap (\cup_{j\in J}B_j)| \geq |I| + |J| - m$  for each pair  $I, J \subseteq [m].(*)$ 

- Remark: Each s, t-path selects a member of the intersection of some A<sub>i</sub> and some B<sub>j</sub>. There is a set of m pairwise internally disjoint s, t-paths if and only if there is a CSDR.
- By Menger's Thm., it is sufficient to show that (\*) is equivalent to having no *s*, *t*-cut of size less than *m*.
- Let R be an s, t-cut. Then,  $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \cap (\cup_{j \in J} B_j) \subseteq R$ .
- Let  $I = \{a_i\} R$  and  $J = \{b_j\} R$ . Then, we have

 $|R| \ge |(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \cap (\cup_{j \in J} B_j))| + (m - |I|) + (m - |J|),$ 

which is always at least m because of (\*).

• See example, page 172.